



Kenneth Kaspar  
INTERMED WELDING USING MULTI PROCES (WLDG2413 51231S)

## BC Survey - Results 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S), INTERMED WELDING USING

Dear Instructor,

Below are the 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S) survey results for "INTERMED WELDING USING MULTI PROCES (WLDG2413 51231S)".

The overall indicator is listed first.

The second part of the analysis lists the average values of all individual questions.

Comments are listed at the report's end.

If you have any further questions, please reach out to a member of PIER.

Best regards,

Robert Wood  
Assistant Research Analyst  
Brazosport College  
500 College Drive  
Lake Jackson, TX 77566  
(979)-230-3189  
robert.wood@brazosport.edu

Kenneth Kaspar  
 2023 Fall (16-Week - 231S)  
 INTERMED WELDING USING MULTI PROCES (WLDG2413 51231S)  
 No. of responses = 2




Survey Results

3. Level of Agreeance:


3.1) Instructions for course activities and assignments were clear.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.4
3.2) The workload in this course was well distributed throughout the term.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
3.3) The course was delivered as outlined in the syllabus.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
3.4) Assessments/exams were based on material covered in assignments, readings, lectures, videos, group activities, etc.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
3.5) The instructor made learning relevant to my field of study, career, or degree.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
3.6) The instructor used a variety of instructional methods (examples: group discussions, student presentations, student activities, lab activities, online discussions, video assignments, case studies, etc.)	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4 md=4 dev.=1.4
3.7) The instructor provided timely feedback on my assignments.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7
3.8) The instructor exhibited professional behavior, treated students respectfully, and used appropriate language during class.	strongly disagree		strongly agree	n=2 av.=4.5 md=4.5 dev.=0.7

3.9) The instructor provided grades within the stated timeframe.



n=2  
av.=4.5  
md=4.5  
dev.=0.7


3.10) The instructor was accessible to answer questions and explain material outside of class according to office hours.



n=2  
av.=4  
md=4  
dev.=1.4

4. Overall Satisfaction With This Course:


4.1) Please rate your overall satisfaction with the learning experience in this course.



n=2  
av.=4  
md=4  
dev.=1.4

5. Course Recommendation:

5.1) How likely are you to recommend this course with this instructor to a friend?



n=2  
av.=4  
md=4  
dev.=1.4


7. Student Demographics:

7.1) How many credits have you completed toward your degree or certificate?




n=2

7.2) This course is instructed as:




n=2

7.3) This term I am currently enrolled in:




n=2

7.4) My current major is:



7.5) I am currently a high school student taking college courses.



## Comments Report

---

### 6. Open Response:

6.1) What are the strengths of this course?

- Welds are relevant to tests conducted for jobs at local refineries and pipe fab shops.
- learning how to weld

6.2) What changes would you recommend that would improve this course?

- Maybe more focus on the welding of stainless steel pipe and restricted tube welding using the GTAW process. You can go at your own pace so it depends on the student and if they can finish all of the standard course work before moving onto the more advanced stuff.
- the instructor need to observe the students while welding

6.3) Any further, constructive comments?

- Instructors are very knowledgeable and have done a great job at preparing me for the field. They got me a job at a local pipe fab shop and I was able to take the welding test and work said job without any issues.